Cyano-Bridged MnIII3MIII (MIII) **Fe, Cr) Complexes: Synthesis, Structure, and Magnetic Properties**

Hitoshi Miyasaka,*,†,‡ Hitomi Takahashi,† Tomokura Madanbashi,† Ken-ichi Sugiura,† Rodolphe Cle´rac,*,§ and Hiroyuki Nojiri#,‡

*Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Metropolitan Uni*V*ersity, 1-1 Minami-ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan, CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan, Centre de Recherche Paul Pascal, CNRS-UPR 8641, 115 A*V*enue du Dr. A. Schweitzer, 33600 Pessac, France, and Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku Uni*V*ersity, Katahira, Aoba, Sendai 980-8577, Japan*

Received April 13, 2005

Two cyano-bridged tetranuclear complexes composed of Mn(III) salen (salen $= N.N$ -ethylene bis(salicylideneiminate)) and hexacyanometalate(III) ($M = Fe$, Cr) in a stoichiometry of 3:1 have been selectively synthesized using $\{NH_2(n-C_{12}H_{25})_2\}$ ₃[M^{III}(CN)₆] (M^{III}) = Fe, Cr) starting materials: $[\{Mn(salen)(EtOH)\}_{3} \{M(CN)_{6}\}]$ (M = Fe, **1**; Cr, **2**). Compounds **1** and **2** are isostructural with a T-shaped structure, in which [M(CN)₆]³⁻ assumes a *meridional*-tridentate building block to bind three [Mn(salen)(EtOH)]⁺ units. The strong frequency dependence and observation of hysteresis on the field dependence of the magnetization indicate that **1** is a singlemolecule magnet.

Since the beginning of the 1990s, much effort has been directed to the synthesis of cyano-bridged magnetic materials to design classical three-dimensional ordered magnets of Prussian-blue type^{1,2} and, more recently, nanosized high-spin complexes exhibiting the so-called single-molecule magnet (SMM) behavior.³ Since this property is essentially governed

10.1021/ic0505753 CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society **Inorganic Chemistry,** Vol. 44, No. 17, 2005 **5969** Published on Web 07/26/2005

by a high-spin ground state and its anisotropic nature, the assemblies of Mn^{III} salen analogues and polycyanometalate $[M^{n+}(CN)_x]^{(n-x)}$ is an interesting strategy to obtain SMM systems. Indeed, Mn^{III} salen complexes possess a strong uniaxial anisotropy induced by the Jahn-Teller distortion of its MnIII metal ions in an octahedral ligand field. Thus, a family of complexes, $[\{Mn^{III}(R\text{-}salen)(S)\}_n\{M^{III}(CN)_6\}]^{-(3-n)}$ $(R-salen = salen-type Schiff-base ligand; S = a terminal$ ligand such as H₂O or alcohols; $M^{III} = Fe$, Cr), has been synthesized so far, but only a few compounds have been structurally characterized⁴ with $n = 1$: (NEt₄)₂[Mn(saldmen)- (H_2O)][Fe(CN)₆] (saldmen²⁻ = *N*,*N*-(1,1-dimethylethylene)bis(salicylideneiminato) dianion);⁵ with $n = 2$: K[Mn(5-
Rsalen)]₂[M(CN)₂](M = Fe Cr)^{6,7} and (NEt.)[Mn(salmen)₁] Rsalen)]₂[M(CN)₆] (M = Fe, Cr),^{6,7} and (NEt₄)[Mn(salmen)-
(FtOH)]₂[Fe(CN)₂] (salmen²⁻ = N N-(1-methylethylene)his- $(EtOH)]_2[Fe(CN)_6]$ (salmen²⁻ = *N*,*N*-(1-methylethylene)bis-(salicylideneiminato) dianion);⁵ and with $n = 6$: {[Mn- $(salen)(H_2O)$ [6]M(CN)₆] $\{M(CN)_6\}$ (M = Fe, Cr) (salen²⁻¹ N ,*N*-ethylenebis(salicylideneiminato) dianion).^{8,9} Interestingly, among these complexes, those with $n = 2$ possess SMM behavior.^{7,10} Herein, we report on the synthesis, crystal structure, and magnetic properties of new $n = 3$ complexes: ¹¹ [Mn(salen)(EtOH)]₃[M(CN)₆] solvents (M = Fe, **1**·2MeOH· 2H2O; Cr, **²**'2MeOH'H2O).

- (3) (a) Christou, G.; Gatteschi, D.; Hendrickson, D. N.; Sessoli, R. *MRS Bull.* **2000**, 66. (b) Gatteschi, D.; Sessoli, R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 268.
(4) The compound with $n = 4$ has been reported as [Mn(saltmen)(EtOH)]₄-
- (4) The compound with $n = 4$ has been reported as [Mn(saltmen)(EtOH)]₄-
[Fe(CN)₆]ClO₄ (saltmen²⁻ = *N*,*N*-(1,1,2,2-tetramethylethylene) bis-
(salvevlideneiminato) dianion) but no structural characterization has (salycylideneiminato) dianion), but no structural characterization has been given. See ref 5.
- (5) Miyasaka, H.; Ieda, H.; Matsumoto, N.; Re, N.; Crescenzi, R.; Floriani, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 255.
- (6) Miyasaka, H.; Matsumoto, N.; Okawa, H.; Re, N.; Gallo, E.; Floriani, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 981.
- (7) Choi, H. J.; Sokol, J. J.; Long, J. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 1606.
- (8) Shen, X.; Li, B.; Zou, J.; Hu, H.; Xu, Z. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2003**, *657*, 325.
- (9) (a) Shen, X.; Li, B.; Zou, J.; Xu, Z.; Yu, Y.; Liu, S. *Trans. Met. Chem.* **2002**, *27*, 372. (b) Choi, H. J.; Sokol, J. J.; Long, J. R. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2004**, *65*, 839.
- (10) Ferbinteanu, M.; Miyasaka, H.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Nakata, K.; Sugiura, K.; Yamashita, M.; Coulon, C.; Clérac, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 3090.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: miyasaka@ comp.metro-u.ac.jp (H.M.).

[†] Tokyo Metropolitan University.

[‡] CREST, JST.

[§] Centre de Recherche Paul Pascal.

[#] Institute for Materials Research.

⁽¹⁾ For example: (a) Mallah, T.; Thiébaut, S.; Verdaguer, M.; Veillet, P. *Science* **1993**, *262*, 1554. (b) Entley, W. R.; Girolami, G. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 5165, and its correction **1995**, *34*, 2262. (c) Entley, W. R.; Girolami, G. S. *Science* **1995**, *268*, 397. (d) Ferlay, S.; Mallah, T.; Ouahe´s, R.; Veillet, P.; Verdaguer, M. *Nature* **1995**, *378*, 701. (e) Sato, O.; Iyoda, T.; Fujishima, A.; Hashimoto, K. *Science* **1996**, *272*, 704. (f) Dujardin, E.; Ferlay, S.; Phan, X.; Desplanches, C.; Cartier dit Moulin, C.; Sainctavit, P.; Baudelet, F.; Dartyge, E.; Vellet, P.; Verdaguer, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 11347. (g) Garde, R.; Villain, F.; Verdaguer, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10531. (h) Franz, P.; Ambrus, C.; Hauser, A.; Cgernyshov, D.; Hostettler, M.; Hauser, J.; Keller, L.; Krämer, K.; Stoeckli-Evans, H.; Pattison, P.; Bu¨rgi, H.-B.; Decurtins, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 16472. (i) Dong, W.; Zhu, L.; Song, H.; Liao, D.; Jiang, Z.; Yan, S.; Cheng, P.; Gao, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 2465. (j) Ohkoshi, S.; Matsuda, T.; Tokoro, H.; Hashimoto, K. *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 81.

⁽²⁾ Ohba, M.; Okawa, H. *Coord. Chem. Re*V*.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *¹⁹⁸*, 313, and references therein.

Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of **1** (50% probability ellipsoid).

Compounds **1** and **2** were synthesized by the assembly reactions of $[Mn(salen)(H_2O)CIO_4$ with $\{NH_2(n-C_1,H_{25})\}$ ₃- $[M(CN)₆]$ (M = Fe, Cr) in a 1:1 mixing ratio in a MeOH/ EtOH solution ($>60\%$ yield for both compounds).¹² Despite the reaction in the mixing ratio of 1:1, the stoichiometry of the final compounds is unambiguously 3:1, forming a class of neutral complexes. It is worth noticing that the use of $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ in a MeOH/H₂O medium instead of $\{NH_2(n-1)\}$ $C_{12}H_{25}$ ₂}₃[Fe(CN)₆] led to a compound with the same 3:1 stoichiometry but including several oligomeric species in its crystal structure.6 Compounds **1** and **2** crystallize in the same monoclinic space group $P2₁/c$ and are isostructural with similar unit-cell dimensions.13 An ORTEP drawing of **1** is depicted in Figure 1 (Figure S1 for **2**). (CIF files are also available on application to the Cambridge Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (fax: (+44) 1223- 336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk): CCDC-268707 for 1 and 268708 for 2.) In both compounds, the $M(CN)_{6}$ moiety acts as a *meridional*-*µ3*-coordinating-donor buildingblock and binds three Mn(salen)(EtOH) moieties. $Mn-N_{CN}$ bond distances are very similar, ranging from 2.249(2) to 2.307(2) Å for **1** and from 2.234(4) to 2.293(4) Å for **2**, but significantly different Mn-N-C angles are found with Mn- $(1)-N(7)-C(55) = 170.7(2)°$, 168.7(4)°, Mn(2)-N(8)- $C(56) = 148.9(2)$ °, 149.9(4)°, and Mn(3)-N(9)-C(57) =

- (12) Anal. Calcd for **¹**'2H2O'2MeOH (%): C, 52.67; H, 5.13; N, 11.89. Found: C, 52.90; H, 4.55; N, 12.39. IR (KBr pellet): $ν$ (C=N), 2118 cm⁻¹; *ν*(C=N), 1601, 1624 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for 2^{*'H*2}O'3MeOH (%): C, 53.13; H, 5.24; N, 11.80. Found: C, 52.88; H, 4.97; N, 11.92. IR (KBr pellet): $ν$ (C=N), 2131 cm⁻¹; $ν$ (C=N), 1601, 1624 cm⁻¹.
- (13) Crystal data for **1**: $C_{62}H_{72}N_{12}O_{13}M_{13}Fe$, fw = 1413.98, monoclinic *P*2₁/*n* (#14), $T = -180 \pm 1$ °C, $a = 15.772(2)$ Å, $b = 14.454(2)$ Å, $c = 29.129(4)$ Å, $\beta = 104.240(2)$ °, $V = 6436.5(14)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, D_{calc} $= 1.459 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$, $F_{000} = 2932.00$, μ (Mo K α) = 8.67 cm⁻¹, Final R1
= 0.041 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), R = 0.052 (all data) wR2 = 0.131 (all data), = 0.041 (*I* > 2.00*σ*(*I*)), R = 0.052 (all data) wR2 = 0.131 (all data),
GOF = 1.003, $ρ_{\text{max}}$ = 1.48 e⁻/Å³, $ρ_{\text{min}}$ = −0.99 e⁻/Å³. Crystal data
for 2: C_≤→H₇₀N₁,O₁→Mn₃Cr. fw = 1392.12. monoclinic for **2**: $C_{62}H_{70}N_{12}O_{12}M_{13}Cr$, fw = 1392.12, monoclinic $P2_1/n$ (#14), $T = -180 + 1$ °C, $a = 158314(13)$ Å, $b = 145301(11)$ Å, $c =$ *T* = -180 \pm 1 °C, *a* = 15.8314(13) Å, *b* = 14.5301(11) Å, *c* = 29.226(2) Å, β = 104.9231(13) °, *V* = 6496.1(9) Å³, *Z* = 4, *D*_{calc} = 14.23 σ ·cm⁻³ *F*₀₀₀ = 2884 00 *µ*(Mo K α) = 8.02 cm⁻¹ Final R 1.423 g·cm⁻³, $F_{000} = 2884.00$, μ (Mo K α) = 8.02 cm⁻¹, Final R1 = 0.064 $(I > 2.00\sigma(I))$, R = 0.081 (all data) wR2 = 0.150 (all data), GOF = 1.246, $\rho_{\text{max}} = 2.32 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{\AA}^3$, $\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.02 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{\AA}^3$.

Figure 2. γT vs *T* plots of **1** (a) and **2** (b) at 1000 Oe. The solid red lines are simulated curves based on a Heisenberg tetranuclear model (see text). Insets: *M* vs *H* plots of **1** (a) and **2** (b) at 1.8 K.

161.9(2)°, 161.5(4)°, for **1** and **2**, respectively. Each Mn- (salen)(EtOH) moiety has an elongated octahedral geometry with a Jahn-Teller distortion along the $(EtOH)-Mn-N_{CN}$ axis (Mn $-O_{EtoH}$ ranges from 2.307(2) to 2.360(2) Å for 1 and from 2.290(3) to 2.325(3) Å for 2). Equatorial Mn-X $(X = N$ and O) bond distances are typically found below 2 Å.

Figure 2 shows plots of χT vs *T* for 1 and 2 measured in the temperature range $1.8-300$ K at 1000 Oe. Above 50 K, the susceptibility obeys the Curie-Weiss law with $C = 10.3$ cm³·K·mol⁻¹ and $\theta = -2.4$ K for **1** and $C = 11.6$
cm³·K·mol⁻¹ and $\theta = -13.7$ K for **2** respectively For **1** cm³·K·mol⁻¹ and θ = -13.7 K for **2**, respectively. For **1**, the γT product is almost independent of temperature in the the γT product is almost independent of temperature in the 100–300 K range (10.13 cm³·K·mol⁻¹) and then gradually
and continuously decreases to 1.8 K (3.66 cm³·K·mol⁻¹) and continuously decreases to 1.8 K (3.66 cm³·K·mol⁻¹).
To evaluate exchange couplings between Mn^{III} (S_{ig} = 2) To evaluate exchange couplings between Mn^{III} ($S_{\text{Mn}} = 2$) ion and Fe^{III} ion ($S_{Fe} = 1/2$) via an $-NC-$ bridge, the magnetic susceptibility was simulated using a Heisenberg four-spin model (centered-triangle model) Hamiltonian:¹⁴

$$
H = -2J_1(S_{Mn1} \cdot S_M) - 2J_2(S_{Mn2} \cdot S_M) - 2J_3(S_{Mn3} \cdot S_M) \quad (1)
$$

where $S_M = S_{Fe}$ and J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 are Mn \cdots Fe interactions. As the three Mn-NC-Fe bridges are structurally independent, these couplings are indeed expected to be different (*J*¹ $\neq J_2 \neq J_3$). Nevertheless, simulation of the experimental data was performed step-by-step. First, the three interactions have been identically treated, but no satisfactory solution has been found. Therefore, the second step was performed for a case in which two interactions are maintained in equal and the third one is free (note that Mn1-NC-Fe and Mn3-NC-Fe bridges are similar structurally speaking, and hence, *J*¹ and J_3 should not be much different). With this approach, the experimental data were well simulated down to 2 K with $g_{av} = 2.085(2)$, $J_1/k_B = J_3/k_B = -4.0(1)$ K, and $J_2/k_B = +4.8$ -(1) K for **1** (solid red line in Figure 2a). No improvement of the fitting was obtained using three independent *J* parameters. Two of three Mn…Fe exchanges are thus antiferromagnetic, whereas the last one is, indeed, necessarily ferromagnetic to simulate correctly the experimental results. In addition, the magnitude of exchange couplings falls, for both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic ones, in the range of values reported previously.5,7,8,9b-¹¹ Empirically, Mn-N-C bond

⁽¹¹⁾ Marvilliers et al. have reported an example of cyano-bridged Mn^{III} ₃-Cr using a pentadentate ligand. However, no crystal structure has been reported in the literature. Marvilliers, A.; Mallah, T.; Riviere, E.; Parsons, S.; Munoz, C.; Vostrikova, K. E. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1999**, *335*, 483.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The susceptibility was calculated using the MAGPACK package developed by J. M. Clemente-Juan et al. Borrás-Almenar, J. J.; Clemente-Juan, J. M.; Coronado, E.; Tsukerblat, B. S. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, *22*, 985.

Figure 3. Frequency and temperature dependence of ac susceptibilities (*ø*′, in-phase; *ø*′′, out-of-phase) of **1** (zero dc field and 3 Oe ac field).

angles close to 150° tend to favor ferromagnetic coupling in cyano-bridged Mn^{III}-Fe^{III} systems.^{7,8,9b,10} Therefore, the $Mn(2)-N(8)-C(56)$ link with a 149° angle could mediate ferromagnetic exchange, while $Mn(1)-N(7)-C(55)$ and Mn- $(3)-N(9)-C(57)$ possessing larger angles (>161°) would stabilize antiferromagnetic interactions. Consequently, this coupling scheme leads to an $S_T = 3/2$ spin ground state in agreement with the $\chi' T$ value observed at low temperature (*ø*′: in-phase ac susceptibility) (Figure S2). It should be noted that, in addition to the intracomplex exchange interactions, contributions from the anisotropy of Mn ^{III} ions (*D*) and intercomplex antiferromagnetic interactions (*zJ*) are probably relevant below 5 K. Therefore, the obtained *J* values may be slightly overestimated.

The *øT* product of **2** decreases gradually from 300 K (11.1 cm³ K mol⁻¹) then reaches a plateau at about 20 K and
finally decreases again below 10 K (7.2 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 10 finally decreases again below 10 K (7.2 cm³ \cdot K \cdot mol⁻¹ at 10 K, $6.2 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{K} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ at 1.8 K). The magnetic susceptibility was calculated¹⁴ using the Hamiltonian given in eq 1 with $S_{\rm M} = S_{\rm Cr}$. The treatment with equal *J* values led to a very good fit, which was slightly improved introducing the intercomplex interaction in mean-field approximation (zJ') :^{15,16} $g_{av} = 2.03(1)$, $J_1/k_B = J_2/k_B = J_3/k_B = -2.3(1)$ K, $zJ'/k_B = -0.2(1)$ K for 2 (solid red line in Figure 2b). This coupling scheme leads to an $S_T = 9/2$ spin ground state confirmed by the M vs. H plot at 1.8 K (inset Figure 2b) which is almost saturated at 7 T reaching 8.3 μ B. The estimation of the exchange coupling between Mn^{III} and Cr^{III} ions via the $-NC$ - linkage agrees well with literature values ranging from -1.6 to -3.6 K,^{7,9,11} always found of antiferromagnetic nature. Nevertheless, the obtained *J* value must be taken with some caution, taking into account the adopted approximations (i) the three nonequivalent $Mn \cdots Cr$ interactions being equally treated and (ii) the anisotropic effects being neglected.

To probe the dynamics of the magnetization for **1** and **2**, ac susceptibility was measured at an oscillating ac field of 3 Oe as a function of frequency $(1-1488 \text{ Hz})$ and temperature $(1.8-4)$ K). Reliable and reproducible ac signals were detected only for **1** (Figure 3). Below 2.1 K, the increase of

Figure 4. *M* vs *H* data measured on a polycrystalline sample of **1** at 0.5 K. Inset: Magnification of the *M* vs *H* plot at low fields between -2 and 2 T.

out-of-phase ac susceptibility (*ø*′′) observed above 100 Hz and its temperature dependence clearly indicate the presence of magnetization relaxation. Since the $\chi' T$ vs T plot is still decreasing even at low temperatures without a clear plateau (Figure S2), low-lying excited states are thus thermally populated even at 1.8 K (energy diagrams of **1** and **2**, calculated using susceptibility-fitting parameters, are given in Figure S3). This conclusion is also supported by the field dependence of the magnetization for **1** at 1.8 K, which reaches values (ca. 9.5 μ B at 7 T) larger than 3 μ B expected for the $S_T = 3/2$ ground state (inset of Figure 1 and Figure S4). Despite the presence of low-lying excited states and a small S_T value, ac susceptibility measurements strongly suggest that **1** is a SMM.

To confirm this hypothesis, field dependence of the magnetization has been measured on a polycrystalline sample of **1** at 0.5 K and external fields up to 20 T. Figure 4 shows these data and revealed a hysteresis behavior expected for a SMM. It is worth noting that the magnetization reaches a clear saturation of 13 μ B expected for the highest spin state $(S_T = 13/2)$ available for 1. This result demonstrates that all the spin levels can be explored with fields up to 20 T and further confirms the presence of low-lying excited states.

In summary, a new family of cyano-bridged Mn^{III} ₃ M^{III} $(M^{III} = Fe, Cr)$ tetranuclear complexes, $[{Mn(salen)(EtOH)}_{3-}]$ ${M(CN)₆}$ (M = Fe, 1; Cr, 2), has been selectively synthesized using $\{NH_2(n-C_{12}H_{25})_2\}$ ₃[M^{III}(CN)₆] as a starting material. The effective exchange couplings between Mn ^{III} and M^{III} ions afford a spin ground state of $S_T = 3/2$ for **1** and $S_T = 9/2$ for 2, respectively. Despite a small S_T value and the presence of low-lying excited spin states, **1** exhibits characteristic features of SMM behavior.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from PRESTO and CREST projects of Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan. R.C. would like to thank the CNRS, the Université de Bordeaux I, and the Conseil Regional d'Aquitaine for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic files of **¹** and **²** in CIF format and Figures S1-S4 This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC0505753

^{(15) (}a) Myers, B. E.; Berger L.; Friedberg, S. *J. Appl. Phys.*, **1969**, *40*, 1149. (b) O'Connor, C. J. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, **1982**, *29*, 203.

⁽¹⁶⁾ It should be noted that ZFS effects from Mn ^{III} ions have been considered instead of intercomplex interaction in an alternative model, but no acceptable fitting of the data has been obtained.